

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,933		WRIGHT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES		2444	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner

(2) Jeremy Monaldo, Atty.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*66, 68, 70, 71, 92 and 103*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:45pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner proposed incorporating the limitation from claim 68 into each independent claim to bring the application into condition for allowance. Atty authorized Examiner to amend the claims as suggested and the claims were amended accordingly.